

**On Regular Pre-Semiclosed Sets In  
Bitopological Spaces**

**K. Indirani**

Department of Mathematics, Nirmala College for Women, Coimbatore, TN, India

**G. Sindhu**

Department of Mathematics with CA, Nirmala College for Women, Coimbatore,  
TN, India. [sindhukannan23@gmail.com](mailto:sindhukannan23@gmail.com)

---

**Abstract**

The generalized closed sets in point set topology have been found considerable interest among general topologists. Veerakumar[8] introduced and investigated pre-semi- closed sets and Anitha[1] introduced pgpr closed sets. P.Thangavelu[7] introduced and investigated the concept of regular pre-semiclosed sets in topological spaces. In this article the concept of regular pre-semiclosed sets and its relationships with other generalized sets are extended to bitopological spaces.

**Keywords:** (i,j) regular pre-semi closed, pairwise rps-continuous, pairwise pre rps-continuous, pairwise rps-irresolute, pairwise rps-closed, pairwise pre rps-closed ,RPSO-connected , pairwise RPSO-compact.

## 1.Introduction

Levine[4] introduced generalized closed (briefly g-closed) sets in topology. Researchers in topology studied several versions of generalized closed sets. In this paper the concept of regular pre-semiclosed (briefly rps-closed) set in bitopological spaces is introduced and their properties are investigated.

## 2.Preliminaries

Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  or simply  $X$  denotes a bitopological space. For any subset  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $\tau_i\text{-int}(A)$  and  $\tau_i\text{-cl}(A)$  denote the interior and closure of a set  $A$  with respect to the topology  $\tau_i$ , respectively.  $A^C$  denotes the complement of  $A$  in  $X$  unless explicitly stated.

We recall the following definitions.

**Definition 2.1.** A subset  $A$  of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called

- (i)  $(i,j)$ -semi-open [5] in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  if there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U$  with  $U \subseteq A \subseteq \tau_j\text{-cl}(U)$ .
- (ii)  $(i,j)$ -pre-open [2] in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  if there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U$  with  $A \subseteq U \subseteq \tau_j\text{-cl}(A)$ .
- (iii)  $(i,j)$ -semi-pre-open or  $(i,j)$ - $\beta$ -open [3] in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  if there exists an  $(i,j)$ -pre-open set  $U$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  with  $U \subseteq A \subseteq \tau_j\text{-cl}(U)$  that is if  $A \subseteq \tau_j\text{-cl}(\tau_i\text{-int}(\tau_j\text{-cl}A))$ .
- (iv)  $(i,j)$ - $\alpha$ -open [2], [6] in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  if  $A \subseteq \tau_i\text{-int}(\tau_j\text{-cl}(\tau_i\text{-int}A))$ .

The complement of an  $(i,j)$ -semi-open set is  $(i,j)$  semi-closed. The  $(i,j)$ -pre-closed sets,  $(i,j)$ -semi-preclosed sets and  $(i,j)$ - $\alpha$ -closed sets will be analogously defined.

**Theorem 2.2.** The following results hold in a bitopological space.

- (i) The union of an arbitrary collection of  $(i,j)$ -semi-open sets is  $(i,j)$ -semi-open;
- (ii) The intersection of two  $(i,j)$ -semi-open sets is not  $(i,j)$ -semi-open;
- (iii) The intersection of an arbitrary collection of  $(i,j)$ -semi-closed sets is  $(i,j)$ -semi-closed and
- (iv) The union of two  $(i,j)$ -semi-closed sets is not  $(i,j)$ -semi-closed.

Jelic [2], Khedr et al. [3] and Sampath Kumar[6] respectively characterized  $(i,j)$ -pre-open sets,  $(i,j)$ -semi-pre-open sets and  $(i,j)$ - $\alpha$ -open sets. The intersection of all  $(i,j)$ -semi-closed sub sets of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  containing a subset  $A$  of  $X$  is the  $(i,j)$ -semi-closure of  $A$ , denoted by  $(i,j)$ - $sclA$ . The union of all  $(i,j)$ -semiopen sets contained in  $A$  is called the  $(i,j)$ -semi-interior of  $A$ , denoted by  $(i,j)$ - $sintA$ . The  $(i,j)$ -pre-closure,  $(i,j)$ -semi-pre-closure,  $(i,j)$ - $\alpha$ -closure,  $(i,j)$ -pre-interior,  $(i,j)$ -semi-pre-interior and  $(i,j)$ - $\alpha$ -interior will be respectively denoted by  $(i,j)$ - $pclA$ ,  $(i,j)$ - $spclA$ ,  $(i,j)$ - $\alpha clA$ ,  $(i,j)$ - $pintA$ ,  $(i,j)$ - $spintA$  and  $(i,j)$ - $\alpha intA$ .

### 3. Regular pre-semiclosed sets in Bitopological Spaces

**Definition 3.1:** A subset  $A$  of a space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $(i,j)$ -regular pre-semi closed (briefly  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed) if  $\tau_j\text{-}spclA \subseteq U$ , whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $\tau_i$ -rg open in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

The class of all  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed sets in a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is denoted by  $(i,j)$ -RPS-C $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$

**Remark 3.2:** The complement of  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed set is  $(i,j)$ -rps-open set.

**Proposition 3.3** (i) Every  $\tau_j$ -closed set is  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed.

(ii) Every  $\tau_j$ -semi-pre-closed set is  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed.

(iii) Every  $(i,j)$ -pgpr-closed set is  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed.

(iv) Every  $\tau_j$ -pre-closed set is  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed.

(v) Every  $\tau_j$ - $\alpha$ -closed set is  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed.

(vi) Every  $\tau_j$ -regular closed set is  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed.

The reverse implications are not true as shown in the examples:

**Example 3.4:** Consider the bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  with  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$ , then

(i)  $\{a\}$  is  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed but not  $\tau_j$ -closed.

(ii)  $\{b, c, d\}$  is  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed but not  $\tau_j$ -semi-pre-closed.

**Example 3.5:** Consider the bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  with  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ , then  $\{a\}$  and  $\{c\}$  are  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed but not  $(i,j)$ -pgpr-closed,  $\tau_j$ -pre-closed,  $\tau_j$ - $\alpha$ -closed and  $\tau_j$ -regular closed.

**Proposition 3.6:** (i) Every  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed set is  $(i,j)$ -pre-semi-closed.

(ii) Every  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed set is  $(i,j)$ -gspr-closed.

(iii) Every  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed set is  $(i,j)$ -gsp-closed.

**Proof**

(i) Let  $A$  be a  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed subset of a space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Let  $A \subseteq U$  where  $U$  is  $\tau_i$ -g-open. Since every  $\tau_i$ -g-open set is  $\tau_i$ -rg-open and since  $A$  is  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed,  $A$  is  $(i,j)$ -pre-semi-closed.

(ii) Let  $A$  be a  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed subset of a space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Let  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $\tau_1$ -regular-open.

Since every  $\tau_1$ -regular-open set is  $\tau_1$ -rg open and since  $A$  is  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed,  $\tau_j\text{-spcl}A \subseteq U$ . Therefore  $A$  is  $(i,j)$ -gspr-closed.

(iii) Let  $A$  be a  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed subset of a space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Let  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $\tau_1$ -open. Since every  $\tau_1$ -open set is  $\tau_1$ -g-open and since every  $\tau_1$ -g-open set is  $\tau_1$ -rg-open,  $\tau_j\text{-spcl}A \subseteq U$  and hence  $A$  is  $(i,j)$ -gsp-closed.

The reverse implications are not true as shown in the examples:

**Example 3.7** In Example 3.4,  $\{a,b\}$  is  $(i,j)$ -pre-semi-closed but not  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed.

In Example 3.5,  $\{a,c\}$  is  $(i,j)$ -gspr-closed and  $(i,j)$ -gsp-closed but not  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed.

The concept of  $(i,j)$ -gs-closed,  $(i,j)$ -g-closed,  $(i,j)$ -gp-closed,  $(i,j)$ -rg-closed,  $(i,j)$ - $\alpha$ g-closed,  $(i,j)$ -sg-closed,  $(i,j)$ -g $\alpha$ -closed,  $(i,j)$ -rwg-closed,  $(i,j)$ -wg-closed,  $(i,j)$ -gpr-closed sets are independent with the concept of  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed as shown in the following examples.

**Example 3.8** Consider the bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  with  $X = \{a,b,c\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{a,b\}, X\}$ , then  $\{a,b\}$  is  $(i,j)$ -gs-closed but not  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed and  $\{a\}$  is  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed but not  $(i,j)$ -gs-closed.

**Example 3.9**

In Example 3.5,  $\{a\}$  is  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed but not  $(i,j)$ -g-closed,  $(i,j)$ -gp-closed,  $(i,j)$ - $\alpha$ g-closed and  $(i,j)$ -wg-closed. Also  $\{a,c\}$  is  $(i,j)$ -g-closed,  $(i,j)$ -gp-closed,  $(i,j)$ - $\alpha$ g-closed and  $(i,j)$ -wg-closed but not  $(i,j)$ -rps-closed.

**Example 3.10** Consider the bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  with  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, X\}$ , then  $\{c\}$  is  $(i, j)$ -rps-closed but not  $(i, j)$ -rg-closed and  $\{a, b\}$  is  $(i, j)$ -rps-closed but not  $(i, j)$ -g $\alpha$ -closed. Also  $\{a, c\}$  is  $(i, j)$ -rg-closed and  $(i, j)$ -g $\alpha$ -closed but not  $(i, j)$ -rps-closed.

**Example 3.11** In Example 3.10  $\{a, b\}$  is  $(i, j)$ -rps-closed but not  $(i, j)$ -sg-closed. Consider the bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  with  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ , then  $\{a, c\}$  is  $(i, j)$ -sg-closed but not  $(i, j)$ -rps-closed.

**Example 3.12** In Example 3.5  $\{a, c\}$  is  $(i, j)$ -rwc-closed but not  $(i, j)$ -rps-closed.

In Example 3.10  $\{a, c\}$  is  $(i, j)$ -rps-closed but not  $(i, j)$ -rwc-closed.

#### 4. Pairwise rps-continuous function

**Definition 4.1** A function  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is called

- (a) pairwise semi-pre-continuous if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $(i, j)$ -semi pre closed in  $X$ , for each  $\sigma_j$ -closed set  $U$  in  $Y$ .
- (b) pairwise pgpr -continuous if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $(i, j)$ -pgpr closed in  $X$ , for each  $\sigma_j$ -closed set  $U$  in  $Y$ .
- (c) pairwise pre -continuous if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $(i, j)$ -pre closed in  $X$ , for each  $\sigma_j$ -closed set  $U$  in  $Y$ .
- (d) pairwise  $\alpha$  -continuous if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $(i, j)$ - $\alpha$  closed in  $X$ , for each  $\sigma_j$ -closed set  $U$  in  $Y$ .
- (e) pairwise pre-semi-continuous if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $(i, j)$ -pre-semi closed in  $X$ , for each  $\sigma_j$ -closed set  $U$  in  $Y$ .
- (f) pairwise gspr -continuous if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $(i, j)$ -gspr closed in  $X$ , for each  $\sigma_j$ -closed set  $U$  in  $Y$ .
- (g) pairwise gsp continuous if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $(i, j)$ -gsp closed in  $X$ , for each  $\sigma_j$ -closed set  $U$  in  $Y$ .

**Definition 4.2** A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is pairwise rps-continuous if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is

(i,j)-rps closed in X, for each  $\sigma_j$ -closed set U in Y.

**Theorem 4.3** Every pairwise continuous function is pairwise rps-continuous.

**Proof.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be pairwise continuous. Let U be a  $\sigma_j$ -closed set in Y .

Then  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $\tau_j$ -closed in X. Since every  $\tau_j$ -closed set is (i,j)-rps closed , we have f is pairwise rps-continuous.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true in general. The next example supports our claim.

**Example4.4:** Consider an identity function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  with  $X=Y=\{a,b,c\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, X\}$ ,  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a,c\}, X\}$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a,c\}, Y\}$  and  $\sigma_2 = \{\phi, \{a,b\}, Y\}$ . Then {c} is pairwise rps-continuous but not pairwise continuous.

Since every  $\tau_j$ -semi-pre-closed, (i,j)-pgpr-closed,  $\tau_j$ -pre-closed,  $\tau_j$ - $\alpha$ -closed sets are (i,j)-rps-closed, we have every pairwise semi-pre continuous, pairwise pgpr continuous , pairwise pre continuous, pairwise  $\alpha$ -continuous mappings are pairwise rps-continuous. But the converse of the above need not be true.

**Example4.5:** Consider an identity function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  with  $X=Y=\{a,b,c,d\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, X\}$ ,  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}, \{b,c\}, \{a,b,c\}, X\}$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a,c\}, Y\}$  and  $\sigma_2 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a,b\}, \{b,c\}, \{a,b,c\}, Y\}$  then {b,c,d} is pairwise rps-continuous but not pairwise semi-pre continuous.

**Example 4.6:** Consider an identity function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  with  $X=Y=\{a,b,c\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, X\}$ ,  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a,c\}, X\}$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \{\phi, \{a,c\}, Y\}$  and  $\sigma_2 = \{\phi, \{b\}, \{a,b\}, \{b,c\}, Y\}$ , then  $\{a\}$  and  $\{c\}$  are pairwise rps-continuous but not pairwise pgpr continuous, pairwise pre continuous and pairwise  $\alpha$ -continuous.

Since every (i,j)-rps-closed set is  $\tau_j$ -pre-semi-closed , (i,j)-gspr-closed , (i,j)-gsp-closed , we have every pairwise rps-continuous mapping is pairwise pre-semi continuous, pairwise gspr continuous, pairwise gsp continuous. But the converse of the above need not be true.

**Example 4.7:** Consider an identity function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  with  $X=Y=\{a,b,c,d\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, X\}$  ,  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}, \{b,c\}, \{a,b,c\}, X\}$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \{\phi, \{a,c\}, Y\}$  and  $\sigma_2 = \{\phi, \{c\}, \{a,c\}, \{b,c\}, \{a,b,c\}, Y\}$ , then  $\{b\}$  is pairwise pre-semi continuous but not pairwise rps continuous.

**Example 4.8** Consider an identity function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  with  $X=Y=\{a,b,c\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, X\}$  ,  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a,c\}, X\}$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \{\phi, \{a,c\}, Y\}$  and  $\sigma_2 = \{\phi, \{b\}, Y\}$  then  $\{a,c\}$  is pairwise gspr continuous and pairwise gsp continuous but not pairwise rps-continuous.

**Theorem 4.9** The following are equivalent: For a function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$

(a)  $f$  is pairwise rps continuous.

(b)  $f^{-1}(U)$  is (i,j) rps open for each  $\sigma_j$ -open set  $U$  in  $Y$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ .

**Proof.** (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): Suppose that  $f$  is pairwise rps continuous. Let  $A$  be  $\sigma_j$ -open in  $Y$ . Then  $A^c$  is  $\sigma_j$ -closed in  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is pairwise rps-continuous, we have  $f^{-1}(A^c)$  is (i,j) rps closed in  $X$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ . Consequently,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is (i,j) rps open in  $X$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) Suppose that  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $(i,j)$  rps open for each  $\sigma_i$ -open set  $U$  in  $Y$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ . Let  $V$  be  $\sigma_j$ -closed in  $Y$ . Then  $V^c$  is  $\sigma_j$ -open in  $Y$ . Therefore, by our assumption,  $f^{-1}(V^c)$  is  $(i,j)$  rps open in  $X$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $(i,j)$  rps closed in  $X$ . This completes the proof.

**Definition 4.10:** A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is

(a) pairwise Pre semi-irresolute if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $(i,j)$ -Pre semi closed in  $X$  for each  $(i,j)$ -Pre semi closed set  $U$  in  $Y$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ .

(b) pairwise gspr-irresolute if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $(i,j)$ -gspr closed in  $X$  for each  $(i,j)$ -gspr closed set  $U$  in  $Y$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ .

(c) pairwise gsp-irresolute if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $(i,j)$ -gsp closed in  $X$  for each  $(i,j)$ -gsp closed set  $U$  in  $Y$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ .

**Definition 4.11:** A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is pairwise rps-irresolute if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $(i,j)$ -rps closed in  $X$  for each  $(i,j)$ -rps closed set  $U$  in  $Y$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ .

Concerning the composition of functions, we have the following.

**Theorem 4.12:** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  and  $g : (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow (Z, \mu_1, \mu_2)$

be two functions. Then

- (a) If  $f$  and  $g$  are pairwise rps-irresolute, then  $g \circ f$  is pairwise rps-irresolute.
- (b) If  $f$  is pairwise rps-irresolute and  $g$  is pairwise rps-continuous, then  $g \circ f$  is pairwise rps-continuous.
- (c) If  $f$  is pairwise Pre semi-irresolute and  $g$  is pairwise rps-continuous, then  $g \circ f$  is pairwise Pre semi-continuous.
- (d) If  $f$  is pairwise gspr-irresolute and  $g$  is pairwise rps-continuous, then  $g \circ f$  is pairwise gspr-continuous.
- (e) If  $f$  is pairwise gsp-irresolute and  $g$  is pairwise rps-continuous, then  $g \circ f$  is pairwise gsp-continuous.

(f) If  $f$  is pairwise rps-continuous and  $g$  is pairwise continuous, then  $g \circ f$  is pairwise rps-continuous.

**Proof.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  and  $g : (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow (Z, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  be pairwise rps-irresolute. Let  $U$  be  $(i,j)$ -rps closed set in  $Z$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ . Since  $g$  is pairwise rps-irresolute,  $g^{-1}(U)$  is  $(i,j)$ -rps closed in  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is pairwise rps-irresolute,  $(g \circ f)^{-1} = f^{-1}[g^{-1}(U)]$  is  $(i,j)$ -rps closed in  $X$ . Therefore,  $g \circ f$  is pairwise rps-irresolute.

The proofs of (b)-(f) are similar.

But the composition of two pairwise rps-continuous functions is not a pairwise rps-continuous function in general as shown in the following example.

**Example 4.13** Consider a function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  and  $g : (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow (Z, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  be identity functions with  $X=Y=Z=\{a,b,c\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, X\}$ ,  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a,c\}, X\}$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{a,c\}, Y\}$  and  $\sigma_2 = \{\phi, \{b\}, \{a,c\}, Y\}$ ,  $\mu_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{a,b\}, \{a,c\}, Z\}$  and  $\mu_2 = \{\phi, \{b\}, Z\}$  then for a  $\mu_2$ -closed set  $\{a,c\}$  in  $Z$ ,  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(\{a, c\}) = \{a, c\}$  is not  $(i,j)$ -rps closed in  $X$ . Hence  $g \circ f$  is not pairwise rps-continuous.

**Definition 4.14:** A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is pairwise pre rps-continuous if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $(i,j)$ -rps closed in  $X$  for each  $\sigma_j$ -semi closed set  $U$  in  $Y$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ .

Obviously every pairwise pre rps-continuous function is pairwise rps-continuous.

But it is not reversible. It is shown in the following example.

**Example 4.15** Consider a function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be an identity function with  $X=Y=\{a,b,c\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a,c\}, X\}$ ,  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{a\}, X\}$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \{\phi, \{b\}, Y\}$  and  $\sigma_2 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a,c\}, Y\}$  then  $f$  is pairwise rps-continuous but not pairwise pre rps-continuous, since the  $\sigma_2$ -semi closed set  $\{a\}$  in  $Y$  is not  $(i,j)$ -rps closed in  $X$ .

**Definition 4.16** A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is pairwise rps-closed if  $f(U)$  is  $(i,j)$ -rps closed for each  $\tau_j$ -closed set  $U$  in  $X$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ .

**Definition 4.17** A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is pairwise pre rps-closed if  $f(U)$  is  $(i,j)$ -rps closed for each  $\tau_j$ -semi closed set  $U$  in  $X$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ .

### 5. Pairwise RPSO-connected space

**Definition 5.1** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise RPSO-connected if  $X$  cannot be expressed as the union of two nonempty disjoint sets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $[A \cap \tau_1\text{-rpscl}(B)] \cup [\tau_2\text{-rpscl}(A) \cap B] = \phi$ .

Suppose  $X$  can be so expressed then  $X$  is called pairwise RPSO-disconnected and we write  $X = A \setminus B$  and call this pairwise RPSO-separation of  $X$ .

**Theorem 5.2** The following conditions are equivalent for any bitopological space.

- (a)  $X$  is pairwise RPSO-connected.
- (b)  $X$  cannot be expressed as the union of two nonempty disjoint sets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $A$  is  $\tau_1$ -rps open and  $B$  is  $\tau_2$ -rps open.
- (c)  $X$  contains no nonempty proper subset which is both  $\tau_1$ -rps open and  $\tau_2$ -rps closed.

**Proof.** (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) : Assume that  $X$  is pairwise RPSO-connected. Suppose that  $X$  can be expressed as the union of two nonempty disjoint sets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $A$  is  $\tau_1$ -rps open and  $B$  is  $\tau_2$ -rps open. Then  $A \cap B = \phi$ . Consequently  $A \subseteq B^C$ . Then  $\tau_2\text{-rps cl}(A) \subseteq \tau_2\text{-rps cl}(B^C) = B^C$ . Therefore,  $\tau_2\text{-rps cl}(A) \cap B = \phi$ . Similarly we can prove  $A \cap \tau_1\text{-rps cl}(B) = \phi$ . Hence  $[A \cap \tau_1\text{-rps cl}(B)] \cup [\tau_2\text{-rps cl}(A) \cap B] = \phi$ . This is a contradiction to the fact that  $X$  is pairwise RPSO-connected.

Therefore,  $X$  cannot be expressed as the union of two nonempty disjoint sets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $A$  is  $\tau_1$ -rps open and  $B$  is  $\tau_2$ -rps open.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) : Suppose that  $X$  cannot be expressed as the union of two nonempty disjoint sets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $A$  is  $\tau_1$ -rps open and  $B$  is  $\tau_2$ -rps open. Suppose that  $X$  contains a nonempty proper subset  $A$  which is both  $\tau_1$ -rps open and  $\tau_2$ -rps closed. Then  $X = A \cup A^c$  where  $A$  is  $\tau_1$ -rps open,  $A^c$  is  $\tau_2$ -rps open and  $A, A^c$  are disjoint. This is the contradiction to our assumption. Therefore,  $X$  contains no nonempty proper subset which is both  $\tau_1$ -rps open and  $\tau_2$ -rps closed.

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (a) : Suppose that  $X$  contains no nonempty proper subset which is both  $\tau_1$ -rps open and  $\tau_2$ -rps closed. Suppose that  $X$  is pairwise RPSO-disconnected. Then  $X$  can be expressed as the union of two nonempty disjoint sets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $[A \cap \tau_1\text{-rps cl}(B)] \cup [\tau_2\text{-rps cl}(A) \cap B] = \phi$ . Since  $A \cap B = \phi$ , we have  $A = B^c$  and  $B = A^c$ . Since  $\tau_2\text{-rps cl}(A) \cap B = \phi$ , we have  $\tau_2\text{-rps cl}(A) \subseteq B^c$ . Hence  $\tau_2\text{-rps cl}(A) \subseteq A$ . Therefore,  $A$  is  $\tau_2$ -rps closed. Similarly,  $B$  is  $\tau_1$ -rps closed. Since  $A = B^c$ ,  $A$  is  $\tau_1$ -rps open. Therefore, there exists a nonempty proper set  $A$  which is both  $\tau_1$ -rps open and  $\tau_2$ -rps closed. This is the contradiction to our assumption. Therefore,  $X$  is pairwise RPSO-connected.

**Theorem 5.3** If  $A$  is pairwise RPSO-connected subset of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  which has the pairwise RPSO-separation  $X = C \setminus D$ , then  $A \subseteq C$  or  $A \subseteq D$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  has the pairwise RPSO-separation  $X = C \setminus D$ . Then  $X = C \cup D$  where  $C$  and  $D$  are two nonempty disjoint sets such that  $[C \cap \tau_1\text{-rps cl}(D)] \cup [\tau_2\text{-rps cl}(C) \cap D] = \phi$ . Since  $C \cap D = \phi$ , we have  $C = D^c$  and  $D = C^c$ . Now,  $[(C \cap A) \cap \tau_1\text{-rps cl}(D \cap A)] \cup [\tau_2\text{-rps cl}(C \cap A) \cap (D \cap A)] \subseteq [C \cap \tau_1\text{-rps cl}(D)] \cup [\tau_2\text{-rps cl}(C) \cap D] = \phi$ . Hence  $A = (C \cap A) \setminus (D \cap A)$  is pairwise RPSO-separation of  $A$ . Since  $A$  is pairwise RPSO-connected, we have either  $(C \cap A) = \phi$  or  $(D \cap A) = \phi$ . Consequently,  $A \subseteq C^c$  or  $A \subseteq D^c$ . Therefore,  $A \subseteq C$  or  $A \subseteq D$ .

**Theorem 5.4** If  $A$  is pairwise RPSO-connected and  $A \subseteq B \subseteq \tau_1\text{-rps cl}(A) \cap \tau_2\text{-rps cl}(A)$  then  $B$  is pairwise RPSO-connected.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $B$  is not pairwise RPSO-connected. Then  $B = C \cup D$  where  $C$  and  $D$  are two nonempty disjoint sets such that  $[C \cap \tau_1\text{-rps cl}(D)] \cup [\tau_2\text{-rps cl}(C) \cap D] = \phi$ . Since  $A$  is pairwise RPSO-connected, we have  $A \subseteq C$  or  $A \subseteq D$ . Suppose  $A \subseteq C$ . Then  $D \subseteq D \cap B \subseteq D \cap \tau_2\text{-rps cl}(A) \subseteq D \cap \tau_2\text{-rps cl}(C) = \phi$ . Therefore,  $D \subseteq \phi$ . Consequently,  $D = \phi$ . Similarly, we can prove  $C = \phi$  if  $A \subseteq D$  {by Theorem 5.3}. This is the contradiction to the fact that  $C$  and  $D$  are nonempty. Therefore,  $B$  is pairwise RPSO-connected.

**Theorem 5.5** The union of any family of pairwise RPSO-connected sets having a nonempty intersection is pairwise RPSO-connected.

**Proof.** Let  $I$  be an index set and  $i \in I$ . Let  $A = \cup A_i$  where each  $A_i$  is pairwise RPSO-connected with  $\cap A_i \neq \phi$ . Suppose that  $A$  is not pairwise RPSO-connected. Then  $A = C \cup D$ , where  $C$  and  $D$  are two nonempty disjoint sets such that  $[C \cap \tau_1\text{-rps cl}(D)] \cup [\tau_2\text{-rps cl}(C) \cap D] = \phi$ . Since  $A_i$  is pairwise RPSO-connected and  $A_i \subseteq A$ , we have  $A_i \subseteq C$  or  $A_i \subseteq D$ . Therefore,  $\cup(A_i) \subseteq C$  or  $\cup(A_i) \subseteq D$ . Hence,  $A \subseteq C$  or  $A \subseteq D$ . Since  $\cap A_i \neq \phi$ , we have  $x \in \cap A_i$ . Therefore,  $x \in A_i$  for all  $i$ . Consequently,  $x \in A$ . Therefore,  $x \in C$  or  $x \in D$ . Suppose  $x \in C$ . Since  $C \cap D = \phi$ , we have  $x \notin D$ . Therefore,  $A \not\subseteq D$ . Therefore,  $A \subseteq C$ . Therefore,  $A$  is not pairwise RPSO-connected. This shows that  $A$  is pairwise RPSO-connected.

**Theorem 5.6** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a pairwise continuous bijective and pairwise pre semi closed. Then inverse image of a  $\sigma_i$ -rps closed set in  $Y$  is  $\tau_i$ -rps closed set in  $X$ .

**Theorem 5.7** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a pairwise continuous bijective and pairwise pre semi closed function. Then the image of a pairwise RPSO-connected space under  $f$  is pairwise RPSO-connected.

**Proof.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be pairwise continuous surjection and pairwise pre semi closed. Let  $X$  is pairwise RPSO-connected. Suppose that  $Y$  is pairwise RPSO-disconnected. Then  $Y = A \cup B$  where  $A$  is  $\sigma_1$  rps open and  $B$  is  $\sigma_2$  rps open in  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is pairwise continuous and pairwise pre semi closed, we have  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $\tau_1$  rps open and  $f^{-1}(B)$  is  $\tau_2$  rps open in  $X$ . Also  $X = f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B)$ ,  $f^{-1}(A)$  and  $f^{-1}(B)$  are two nonempty disjoint sets. Then  $X$  is pairwise RPSO-disconnected. This is the contradiction to the fact that  $X$  is pairwise RPSO-connected. Therefore,  $Y$  is pairwise RPSO-connected.

## 6. Pairwise RPSO-compact space

**Definition 6.1** A nonempty collection  $\zeta = \{A_i, i \in I, \text{ an index set}\}$  is called a pairwise rps-open cover of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  if  $X = \cup A_i$  and  $\zeta \subseteq \tau_1\text{-RPSO}(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \cup \tau_2\text{-RPSO}(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $\zeta$  contains at least one member of  $\tau_1\text{-RPSO}(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and one member of  $\tau_2\text{-RPSO}(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Definition 6.2** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise RPSO-compact if every pairwise rps-open cover of  $X$  has a finite subcover.

**Definition 6.3** A set  $A$  of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise RPSO-compact relative to  $X$  if every pairwise rps-open cover of  $A$  has a finite subcover as a subspace.

**Theorem 6.4** Every pairwise rps-compact space is pairwise compact.

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be pairwise RPSO-compact. Let  $\zeta = \{A_i, i \in I, \text{ an index set}\}$  be a pairwise open cover of  $X$ . Then  $X = \cup A_i$  and  $\zeta \subseteq \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$  and  $\zeta$  contains at least one member of  $\tau_1$  and one member of  $\tau_2$ . Since every  $\tau_i$ -open set is  $\tau_i$ -rps open, we have  $X = \cup A_i$  and  $\zeta \subseteq \tau_1\text{-RPSO}(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \cup \tau_2\text{-RPSO}(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $\zeta$  contains at least one member of  $\tau_1\text{-RPSO}(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and one member of  $\tau_2\text{-RPSO}(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Therefore,  $\zeta$  is the pairwise rps-open cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is pairwise RPSO-compact, we have  $\zeta$  has the finite subcover. Therefore,  $X$  is pairwise compact.

But the converse of the above theorem need not be true in general.

**Theorem 6.5** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a pairwise continuous, bijective and pairwise pre semi closed. Then the image of a pairwise RPSO-compact space under  $f$  is pairwise RPSO -compact.

**Proof.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be pairwise continuous surjection and pairwise pre semi closed. Let  $X$  be pairwise RPSO -compact. Let  $\zeta = \{A_i, i \in I, \text{ an index set}\}$  be a pairwise rps-open cover of  $Y$ . Then  $Y = \cup A_i$  and  $\zeta \subseteq \sigma_1\text{-RPSO}(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \cup \sigma_2\text{-RPSO}(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  and  $\zeta$  contains at least one member of  $\sigma_1\text{-RPSO}(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  and one member of  $\sigma_2\text{-RPSO}(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ .

Therefore,  $X = f^{-1}[\cup(A_i)] = \cup f^{-1}(A_i)$  and  $f^{-1}(\zeta) \subseteq \tau_1\text{-RPSO}(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \cup \tau_2\text{-RPSO}(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $f^{-1}(\zeta)$  contains at least one member of  $\tau_1\text{-RPSO}(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and one member of  $\tau_2\text{-RPSO}(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Therefore,  $f^{-1}(\zeta)$  is the pairwise rps-open cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is pairwise RPSO-compact, we have  $X = \cup f^{-1}(A_i), i = 1 \text{ to } n. \Rightarrow Y = f(X) = \cup(A_i), i = 1 \text{ to } n.$  Hence,  $\zeta$  has the finite subcover. Therefore,  $Y$  is pairwise RPSO-compact.

**Theorem 6.6** If  $Y$  is  $\tau_1$ - rps closed subset of a pairwise RPSO-compact space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then  $Y$  is  $\tau_2$ -RPSO compact.

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise RPSO-compact space. Let  $\zeta = \{A_i, i \in I, \text{ an index set}\}$  be a  $\tau_2$ -rps open cover of  $Y$ . Since  $Y$  is  $\tau_1$ -rps closed subset,  $Y^C$  is  $\tau_1$ -rps open. Also  $\zeta \cup Y^C = Y^C \cup \{A_i, i \in I, \text{ an index set}\}$  be a pairwise rps-open cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is pairwise RPSO-compact,  $X = Y^C \cup A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ . Hence  $Y = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ . Therefore,  $Y$  is  $\tau_2$ -RPSO compact.

Since every  $\tau_1$ -closed set is  $\tau_1$ -rps closed, we have the following.

**Theorem 6.7** If  $Y$  is  $\tau_1$ -closed subset of a pairwise RPSO-compact space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then  $Y$  is  $\tau_2$ -RPSO compact.

**Theorem 6.8** If  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  are Hausdorff and  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise RPSO-compact, then  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  be Hausdorff and  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise RPSO-compact. Since every pairwise RPSO - compact space is pairwise compact, we have  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  are Hausdorff and  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise compact. Let  $F$  be  $\tau_1$ -closed in  $X$ . Then  $F^C$  is  $\tau_1$ -open in  $X$ . Let  $\zeta = \{A_i, i \in I, \text{ an index set}\}$  be the  $\tau_2$ -open cover for  $X$ . Therefore,  $\zeta \cup F^C$  is the pairwise open cover for  $X$ . Since  $X$  is pairwise compact,  $X = F^C \cup A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ . Hence  $F = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ . Hence  $F$  is  $\tau_2$ -compact. Since  $(X, \tau_2)$  is Hausdorff, we have  $F$  is  $\tau_2$ -closed. Similarly, every  $\tau_2$  closed set is  $\tau_1$ -closed. Therefore,  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ .

References:

- [1] Anitha M and P Thangavelu (2005) On Pre-Generalized Pre-Regular-Closed sets. Acta Ciencia Indica 31M (4):1035-1040.
- [2] Jelic M (1990) A decomposition of pairwise continuity. J.Inst. Math. Comput. Sci. Math.Ser.3:25-29.
- [3] Khedr FH, SM Al Areefi and T Noiri (1992) Precontinuity and semi-precontinuity in bitopological spaces. Indian J. pure appl. Math. 23(9): 625-633.
- [4] Levine N (1970) Generalized closed sets in topology. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo19(2):89-96.
- [5] Maheshwari SN and R. Prasad (1977/78) Semi-open sets and semi-continuous functions in bitopological spaces. Math. Notae 26: 29-37.
- [6] Sampath Kumar S (1997) On a decomposition of pairwise continuity. Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 89: 441-446.
- [7] T. Shyla Isac Mary and P.Thangavelu On Regular Pre-Semiclosed Sets in Topological Spaces-KBM Journal of Mathematical Sciences & Computer Applications 2010 1 (1): 9-17
- [8] Veerakumar MKRS (2002) Pre-semi-closed sets. Indian J. Math 44 (2): 165-181.